

No Schools Left Behind

Schools can get a better picture of how to improve learning for all students by gathering, intersecting, and organizing different categories of data more effectively.

Victoria L. Bernhardt

Educators often begin improving their schools by asking two questions: *What data should we be analyzing to help our school improve?* and *What data besides the state's standardized test results can we use?*



If you have asked these questions, the good news is that your school probably already has an abundance of powerful data on the effectiveness of all parts of the school—and much of this information does not come from standardized tests. The bad news is that if you are not using these data, your school or district probably has not yet organized the data for easy access and analysis.

What kinds of data are important for continuous school improvement, and how can you best organize the data for easy access and analysis?

Four Kinds of Data

You can answer almost any question about the effectiveness of a school by gathering, intersecting, and analyzing four kinds of data.

Demographic data describe the students, the school's staff, the school, and the surrounding community (see Figure 1). This information delineates the context in which the school operates and is crucial for understanding all other data. By disaggregating information by demographics (for example, by gender or ethnicity), you can understand what impact the education system is having on different groups of students.

Student learning data include a variety of measurements—norm-referenced tests, criterion-referenced tests, standards assessments, teacher-assigned grades, and authentic assessments—that show the impact of your education system on your students.

Perceptions data—gathered through questionnaires, interviews, and observations—help you understand what students, parents, teachers, and the community think about the learning environment. People act according to what they believe about different topics, so if you want to change a group's perceptions, you have to know about their beliefs.

Student perceptions, for example, can tell you what motivates students to learn, and staff perceptions can indicate what kind of change is possible and necessary within the school.

School processes data include the school's programs, instructional strategies, assessment strategies, and classroom practices. Keeping track of these processes through careful documentation helps you build a continuum of learning for all students.

Data Snapshots

By looking separately at each of the four categories of data, you can answer such questions as:

- ◆ How many students are enrolled in your school this year? (*Demographic*)
- ◆ How did students at your school score on the state test? (*Student Learning*)
- ◆ What are parent, student, and staff perceptions of the learning environment? (*Perceptions*)
- ◆ What special programs are operating in your school this year? (*School Processes*)

By looking at these same categories during the course of several years, you can answer such questions as:

- ◆ What programs have operated in the school during the past five years? (*School Processes*)

Intersecting Two Data Categories

By crossing two categories of data, you will get a more vivid picture of the school, which will allow you to answer such questions as:

- ◆ Do students who attend school every day get better grades? (*Demographic/Student Learning*)
- ◆ Do students with positive attitudes toward school do better academically, as measured by teacher-assigned grades? (*Perceptions/Student Learning*)
- ◆ Did students enrolled in interactive math programs this year perform better on standardized achievement tests than those who took traditional math courses? (*Student Learning/School Processes*)
- ◆ What strategies do third-grade teachers use to teach students with native languages different from their own? (*Demographic/School Processes*)

What kinds of data are important for continuous school improvement, and how can educators best organize the data for easy access and analysis?

- ◆ How has enrollment in the school changed? (*Demographics*)
- ◆ Have student scores on standardized tests changed during the past several years? (*Student Learning*)
- ◆ How have parent, student, and teacher perceptions of the learning environment changed? (*Perceptions*)
- ◆ Is there a difference in how students enrolled in different programs perceive the learning environment? (*Perceptions/School Processes*)
- ◆ Is there a gender difference in students' perceptions of the learning environment? (*Perceptions/Demographic*)

Looking at the intersection of two kinds of data over time allows you to see trends developing—for example, standardized achievement test scores disaggregated by ethnicity over the past three years can help a school see whether the scores of a given ethnic group compared with those of others constitute a trend or just a fluctuation.

Intersecting Three Data Categories

Intersecting three of the data categories at the school level allows, for example, a comparison between a given ethnic group’s achievement scores and the same group’s student questionnaire responses. Other types of questions include:

- ◆ Do students of different ethnicities perceive the learning environment differently, and do they score differently on standardized achievement tests consistent with these perceptions? (*Demographic/Perceptions/Student Learning*)
- ◆ Which program this year is making the biggest difference in achievement for at-risk students, and is one group of students responding more successfully to the program than are other students? (*School Processes/Student Learning/Demographic*)
- ◆ Is there a difference in students’ reports of what they like most about the school according to whether they participate in extracurricular activities? Do students who participate have higher grade-point averages than students who don’t participate? (*Perceptions/Student Learning/School Processes*)
- ◆ What instructional process did the previously non-English-speaking students enjoy most in their all-English classrooms this year? (*Perceptions/Demographic/School Processes*)

Looking at these data over a period of time will allow you to see trends, to understand the learning environment from the students’ perceptive, and to know how to deliver instruction to get the best possible results for all students.

FIGURE 1

Data You Can Use to Analyze Demographics

About Students

Number of students in the school
 Class sizes
 Absences/tardies
 Gender
 Ethnicity/race
 Home background
 Lunch status (free, reduced, or full price)
 Language proficiency
 Preschool attendance
 Special needs
 Mobility
 Retention rate
 Dropout rates
 Graduation rates
 Post-graduation employment/education
 Extracurricular activities
 Honors/advanced placement status
 Employment during high school

About Staff

Numbers of teachers, administrators, paraprofessionals, and support staff
 Years of experience (total number of years and by grade level)
 Absences
 Gender
 Ethnicity/race
 Retirement projections
 Types of certification
 Student-teacher ratios
 Professional development opportunities
 Extracurricular activity involvement/committees

About the School

History
 Safety/crime data
 Special qualities and strengths
 Turnover rate of teachers and staff
 Community support
 Programs offered

About the Community

Location and history
 Makeup of the population
 Economic base
 Population trends
 Types of employers in the community
 Projections of growth
 Parent employers
 Community/business involvement
 Support agencies

Intersecting Four Data Categories

A rich, complex picture of a school emerges from the intersection of all four categories of data, such as a comparison of state test scores—disaggregated by program, gender, and grade level—with questionnaire results for students—also disaggregated by program, gender, and grade level. These intersections allow you to answer such questions as:

- ◆ Are there differences in achievement scores for eighth-grade girls and boys who report that they like school, by the type of program and grade level in which they are enrolled?

(Demographic/Perceptions/School Processes/Student Learning)

Not until you intersect all data categories at the school level and over time will you be able to answer questions that allow you to predict whether the actions, processes, and programs that you are operating will meet the needs of all students. By crossing all four data categories, you are taking into account who your students are, how they prefer to learn, which subgroups of students are achieving, and with which processes students achieve.

What Does Using Data Look Like?

Sixty percent of third graders at Archer Elementary School¹ scored below the proficient level on the state's criterion-referenced reading test. To understand this achievement problem, the staff disaggregated the below-proficient third-grade scores (*Student Learning*) by gender and ethnicity (*Demographic*). They discovered that the scores of boys and girls were similar, but that one ethnic group had consistently lower scores. An examination of the data for the past three years revealed the same scenario.

Were there differences in the way these students were taught (*School Processes*)? By disaggregating

the student achievement scores by gender, ethnicity, and teacher, the staff noticed that each teacher's students received generally consistent scores over time, and certain teachers' students who were of this particular ethnicity had never scored at or above the proficient level in three years. At the same time, other teachers' students of this ethnicity scored above proficient. Looking at the student questionnaire results (*Perceptions*) by ethnicity, the teachers were stunned to see that this ethnic group scored the lowest in response to such statements as *My teacher thinks I will be successful*, *My teacher believes I can learn*, *I am recognized for good work*, *I know what I am supposed to be learning in my classes*, and *Students are treated fairly at this school*. Staff questionnaires showed that not all teachers agreed with the statement that *all students can learn*.

After reviewing these data, the district undertook personnel changes at the school and helped the school set up new school procedures: diagnosing student learning at the beginning of the year in every grade level, clarifying what students should know, and be able to do by the end of each year, aligning curriculum and instruction to district standards, and measuring progress toward learning goals throughout the year.

Taking a different approach, staff members at Canyon View High School wanted to use their data to understand why more than half of the school's ninth-grade students failed the state reading proficiency examination. Working backward through the students' education experiences to determine the earliest occurrence of a characteristic common to all students who had not passed the exam, the teachers were shocked to see that most of these students had missed up to 30 or 40 days in a 180-day school year when they were first graders.

These ninth graders and the students in grades just below them were already getting remedial reading help, but the new data provided an opportunity to save younger students from the same fate. The

district began more extensive screening of elementary and middle school students who were likely to suffer academically because of high absenteeism in early years. Teachers, counselors, and principals followed up by working closely with parents—setting up telephone trees, for example, and in some cases making home visits—to make sure that the children got to school.

Data Access

To be done well, data analysis requires the technical support of knowledgeable people and a database or data warehouse. Districts are just now beginning to

**A rich, complex picture
of a school emerges from the
intersection of all four
categories of data.**

buy data warehouses that facilitate the storage and analysis of a large number of data elements quickly, easily, accurately, and meaningfully. School districts or schools that do not have such a tool should consider buying a data warehouse²; in fact, not having one should no longer be an option. When looking for a database or data warehouse, districts and schools should look for the following six features:

Accessibility at different levels. Stored at the district and possibly even regional or state levels, educators should be able to gain access to the data at schools and in classrooms.

Automatic graph builders. Being able to look over tables to check for accuracy is important, but the data analysis tool should be able to build graphs so that everyone can see the information in the same picture form.

Disaggregation on the fly. Anyone performing an analysis that is starting to show interesting data should be able to gain access to the next deeper levels quickly and easily.

Intuitive point-and-click or drop-and-drag technology. Everyone should be able to use the database without referring to a manual.

The ability to follow individual and group student achievement. Districts should be able to follow achievement from pre-K through grade twelve.

Fast and easy creation of standard reports. Some reports—for school accountability or Title I, for example—have to be created every year and require much of the same information. Recreating the document should be possible with the click of a button.

Getting Started

Educators should start by organizing the data already on hand, such as student information and standardized test score results. Both are usually in electronic form, so importing the information into a data warehouse or data analysis tool is easy. Next, educators should think about how they can intersect that data to answer questions about program implementation. Gathering data for its own sake is counterproductive and often results in *analysis paralysis*. The goal of using data to improve learning for all students should always be paramount.

The district should provide an expert to perform the major analyses for the district and each of its schools. Teachers should be able to spend their time studying the results instead of looking at the data or performing analyses themselves. They should be able to start the school year with historical data on each of their students and a full picture of what students already know and what they need to learn. And they should use ongoing measurements to


make sure that all students are progressing and mastering the content.

When student-learning measures are the only focus of a school's data analysis efforts, school personnel end up using their time figuring out how to look better on the student-learning measures. This narrow approach has limited results. By contrast, looking at student achievement results in conjunction with the context of the school and the processes that create the results gives teachers and administrators important information about what they need to do to improve learning for all students.

¹The schools mentioned in this article are identified by pseudonyms.

²Bernhardt, V. L. (2000). *Designing and using databases for school improvement*. New York, NY: Routledge.

Multiple Measures of Data



© Education for the Future, Chico, CA (<http://eff.csuchico.edu>)

About the Author

Victoria L. Bernhardt, Ph.D., is Executive Director of the *Education for the Future Initiative*, a not-for-profit organization whose mission is to build the capacity of all learning organizations at all levels to gather, analyze, and use data to continuously improve learning for all students. She is also a Professor (currently on leave) in the College of Communication and Education, at California State University, Chico. Dr. Bernhardt is the author, or co-author, of numerous books, including:

- ▼ *Data Analysis for Continuous School Improvement*, Third Edition, provides an updated continuous school improvement framework, explains the components and structures for using schoolwide data for the purpose of continuous school improvement, and organizes the information for easy retrieval and application.
- ▼ *Response to Intervention (RtI) and Continuous School Improvement (CSI): Using Data, Vision, and Leadership to Design, Implement, and Evaluate a Schoolwide Prevention System* (2011) (with Connie L. Hébert) describes how to get all staff working together to design, implement, and evaluate a schoolwide prevention system, and shows specific examples of how to do this.
- ▼ *From Questions to Actions: Using Questionnaire Data for Continuous School Improvement* (2009) (with Bradley J. Geise) describes how to create, administer, analyze, and use questionnaires as a tool to improve teaching strategies, programs, and learning organizations.
- ▼ *Data, Data Everywhere: Bringing All the Data Together for Continuous School Improvement* (2009) is an easy-to-read primer that is conversational and accessible. This newest book will help your faculty and staff become comfortable with using data to drive a continuous school improvement process.
- ▼ *Translating Data into Information to Improve Teaching and Learning* (2007) helps educators think through the selection of meaningful data elements and effective data tools and strengthens their understanding of how to increase the quality of data and data reports at each educational level.
- ▼ A four-book collection of using data to improve student learning—*Using Data to Improve Student Learning in Elementary Schools* (2003); *Using Data to Improve Student Learning in Middle Schools* (2004); *Using Data to Improve Student Learning in High Schools* (2005); and *Using Data to Improve Student Learning in School Districts* (2006). Each book shows real analyses focused on one education organizational level and provides templates on an accompanying CD-Rom for leaders to use for gathering, graphing, and analyzing data in their own learning organizations.
- ▼ *Data Analysis for Continuous School Improvement* (First Edition, 1998; Second Edition, 2004) helps learning organizations use data to determine where they are, where they want to be, and how to get there—sensibly, painlessly, and effectively.
- ▼ *The School Portfolio Toolkit: A Planning, Implementation, and Evaluation Guide for Continuous School Improvement*, and CD-Rom (2002), is a compilation of over 500 examples, suggestions, activities, tools, strategies, and templates for producing school portfolios that will lead to continuous school improvement.
- ▼ *The School Portfolio: A Comprehensive Framework for School Improvement* (First Edition, 1994; Second Edition, 1999). This first book by the author assists schools with clarifying the purpose and vision of their learning organizations as they develop their school portfolios.

Dr. Bernhardt is passionate about her mission of helping all educators continuously improve student learning in their classrooms, their schools, their districts, and states by gathering, analyzing, and using actual data—as opposed to using hunches and “gut-level” feelings. She has made numerous presentations at professional meetings and conducts workshops on the school portfolio, data analysis, data warehousing, and school improvement at local, state, regional, national, and international levels.

Dr. Bernhardt can be reached at:

Victoria L. Bernhardt
Executive Director, *Education for the Future Initiative*
400 West First Street, Chico, CA 95929-0230
Tel: 530-898-4482 — Fax: 530-898-4484
e-mail: vbernhardt@csuchico.edu
website: <http://eff.csuchico.edu>